	Case 3:05-cv-03902-CRB Document 3	17 Filed 02/09/12 Page 1 of 3	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
11	IN RE: BEXTRA AND CELEBREX MARKETING SALES PRACTICES AND	CASE NO. 05-CV-01699 CRB	
12	PRODUCT LIABILITY LITIGATION	MDL No. 1699	
13	This Document Relates To:	ORDER SETTING HEARINGS &	
14	John Bolwell 05-3902 CRB	FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE	
15	Alane Davis 05-3902 CRB Charles C. Foti, Jr. et al. 06-0157 CRB		
16	Health Care Service Corp. 11-0310 CRB		
17			
18	The Court previously scheduled a case management conference ("CMC") and a number of		
19	hearings for January 27, 2012, which the Court then rescheduled for February 10, 2012. Based		
20	on the availability of counsel for the parties and the Court, the Court hereby ORDERS as follows:		
21	1. John Bolwell & Alane Davis, Case No. 05-3902 CRB – OSC Continued		
22	On August 24, 2011, this Court issued an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiffs Should		
23	Not Be Dismissed for Lack of Prosecution ("the OSC"). The OSC required counsel for the		
24	above-captioned Plaintiffs (or Plaintiffs themselves, if they are pro se) to: (1) notify the Court in		
25	writing on or before October 4, 2011 of the reasons the case should not be dismissed and to		
26	provide a copy of any such communication to counsel for the Pfizer Defendants and Plaintiffs'		
27	Liaison Counsel; and (2) appear in person at a CMC on October 14 to show cause as to why		
28	Plaintiffs' lawsuits should not be dismissed with prejudice for a lack of prosecution.		
	ORDER SETTING HEARINGS & FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE – M:05-CV-01699-CRB		
11	EAST\47861778.1		

Plaintiffs John Bolwell and Alane Davis were subject to the OSC. Counsel for Mr. Bolwell and Ms. Davis filed a written response to the OSC, appeared in person at the October 14 CMC, and requested a 60-day continuance of the OSC, which he represented would be sufficient time to complete the settlement process. Pfizer did not oppose that request at that time. As of the date of this order, however, Plaintiffs still have not yet provided Pfizer with a signed settlement agreement, release, dismissal, or other paperwork necessary for settlement, even though the parties have had an agreement in principle for more than one year and Plaintiffs have had more than 90 days since the October 14 CMC.

Accordingly, counsel for the above-captioned Plaintiffs must: (1) notify the Court in writing on or before March 9, 2012 of the reasons the case should not be dismissed and provide a copy of any such communication to counsel for the Pfizer Defendants and Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel; and (2) appear in person at the continued hearing on the OSC on Friday, March 16, 2012 at 10:00 a.m. to show cause as to why Plaintiffs' lawsuits should not be dismissed with prejudice for a lack of prosecution. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41. Failure to submit an explanation in writing and appear at the CMC will result in dismissal with prejudice.

2. <u>Health Care Service Corporation, Case No. 11-0310 CRB – Briefing Schedule</u>

Pending before the Court is a motion to dismiss filed by the Pfizer defendants. The Court will hear oral argument on that motion on Friday, March 16 at 10:00 a.m.

3. Charles C. Foti, Jr. et al., Case No. 06-0157 CRB – Parties to Meet & Confer

The parties shall be prepared to discuss the remaining discovery that may be necessary for pretrial purposes, including but not limited to discovery needed in advance of motions for summary judgment, at the next CMC in this litigation, which the Court hereby sets for March 16 at 10:00 a.m.

4. All Other Matters

In addition to the above-captioned matters, Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel and Defendants' Liaison Counsel shall be prepared to advise the Court on any other matters remaining in the litigation, including: (1) the status of the settlement for Plaintiff Louise Porac (Case No. 06-6779 CRB), whose case recently was dismissed with prejudice pending this Court's ruling on her

Case 3:05-cv-03902-CRB Document 17 Filed 02/09/12 Page 3 of 3

petition for relief from the common benefit assessment; and (2) the status of any other purchase claim or personal injury litigation pending in other federal or state courts relating to Bextra or Celebrex, such as any cases awaiting transfer to these MDL proceedings.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 8, 2012



- 3 -